

FILED

IN THE CHANCERY COURT, STATE OF WYOMING

2025 WYCH 2

In Re Shirazi, Stacey Lynn,

Case No. CH-2024-0000030

Order of Dismissal

[¶1] The court is in receipt of several documents filed in response to the court’s W.R.C.P.Ch.C. 4(w) notice of dismissal for failure to serve (FSX No. 75919254). Having reviewed the case file and the pertinent law, the court now dismisses the case without prejudice.

[¶2] Plaintiff’s recent filings include a Response (FSX No. 75962105), Three Additional Proofs of Delivery (FSX No. 75964457), and a Motion entitled “Notice A Motion To provide Default Summary Judgment via evidence of the Principals Maxims of Equity the Jurisdictional Foundation and Primary Proceedings of your Court in providing a Summary Judgment for a Default.” (FSX No. 75999444).

[¶3] In sum, plaintiff has submitted several mailing receipts along with what the court interprets to be a request for summary judgment under the “Postal Mail Rules Under Court of Record Rulings.” Plaintiff has not obtained a summons from the clerk of court, and for the reasons described in *Braithwaite v. Inno Supps, et al.*, 2025 WYCH 1 (Wyo. Ch. C. 2025), plaintiff’s mailing receipts do not evidence proper service as required by Wyoming’s Rules of Civil Procedure for the Chancery Court. As to plaintiff’s summary judgment request, the court will not consider “arguments unsupported by cogent argument and cites to . . . relevant authority.” *Jontra Holdings Pty Ltd v. Gas Sensing Tech. Corp.*, 2021 WY 17, ¶ 91, 479 P.3d 1222, 1247 (Wyo. 2021) (citing *Harrison v. State*, 2020 WY 43, ¶ 2, 460 P.3d 260, 261 (Wyo. 2020)).

[¶4] This order is issued over 90 days following plaintiff’s initial filing. Without proper service on any of the defendants within that timeframe, the case is due to be dismissed in accordance with W.R.C.P.Ch.C. 4(w). The court denies plaintiff’s request for summary judgment. The case is **DISMISSED** without prejudice.

Date: April 10, 2025

/s/ Benjamin M. Burningham
Chancery Court Judge