

**IN THE SUPREME COURT, STATE OF WYOMING**

**2007 WY 205**

*October Term, A.D. 2007*

*December 19, 2007*

**JACOB PAUL MARTINEZ,**

**Appellant  
(Defendant),**

**v.**

**THE STATE OF WYOMING,**

**Appellee  
(Plaintiff).**

**S-07-0147**

**S-07-0148**

**ORDER AFFIRMING JUDGMENT AND SENTENCE OF THE DISTRICT COURT**

[¶1] **This matter** came before the Court upon the *pro se* “Appellant Brief of Jacob Paul Martinez,” filed herein December 6, 2007. On September 27, 2007, Appellant’s court-appointed appellate counsel filed a request to withdraw as counsel, pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 744, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 1400, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967). This Court, after a careful review of the record and the “*Anders* brief” submitted by appellate counsel, entered its “Order Granting Permission for Court Appointed Counsel to Withdraw and Conditionally Affirming the Judgment and Sentence,” on October 23, 2007. That Order provided that the district court’s “Sentence” would be summarily affirmed unless the Appellant, Jacob Paul Martinez, raised points of his choosing which convinced this Court that the appeal herein is less than wholly frivolous. Now, after a careful review of the “Appellant Brief of Jacob Paul Martinez,” this Court finds that the Appellant has failed to raise such points with this Court. Therefore, pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 744, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 1400, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), this Court finds that the District Court’s “Sentence” in this matter should be affirmed. It is, therefore,

[¶2] **ORDERED** that the District Court's "Sentence," which was filed June 12, 2007, be, and the same hereby is, affirmed.

**DATED** this 18th day of December, 2007.

**BY THE COURT:**

/s/ Barton R. Voigt

**BARTON R. VOIGT**  
**Chief Justice**